Check for updates





Blood 142 (2023) 3686-3688

The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

POSTER ABSTRACTS

901.HEALTH SERVICES AND QUALITY IMPROVEMENT - NON-MALIGNANT CONDITIONS

Evaluation of Venous Thromboembolism Prevention Practices in US Hospitals

Alys Adamski, PhDMPH¹, Karon Abe, PhD¹, Nimia Reyes, MD MPH¹, Barbara Braun², Michele Bozikis², Salome Chitavi², Karen Kolbusz², Stephen Schmaltz²

¹ Division of Blood Disorders, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA ²The Joint Commission, Oakbrook Terrace, IL

Background

Hospital-associated venous thromboembolism (HA-VTE) is a significant, deadly, costly, and growing public health problem. While as many as 70% of cases of HA-VTE in patients could be prevented, proven VTE prevention strategies are not applied systematically across U.S. hospitals systems. There is a need to assess and better understand the landscape around VTE prevention practices in U.S. hospitals.

Methods

The Joint Commission and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) collaborated in the development of a probability-based hospital survey collected in accordance with the American Association for Public Opinion Research guidelines. The population comprised all U.S. and territorial general medical, general surgical, and critical-access hospitals in the 2019 American Hospital Association database. Hospitals were stratified by bed size (small ≤ 100 beds; medium 100-399 beds; and large ≥ 400 beds), then randomly sampled an equal number of hospitals in each group. The intended respondent was the chief medical officer, director of quality or safety, or person of a similar title. The questionnaire comprised 44 items, including topics on hospital policies and protocols, barriers to implementation of VTE prevention practice, quality monitoring and improvement efforts, and risk assessment activities. The $\chi 2$ test was used to examine differences in response rates by hospital characteristics. This project was deemed non-research in accordance with federal regulation for the protection of human subjects in research.

Results

There were 4605 eligible hospitals, of which 1290 were randomly selected for the sample, and 1212 had available contact information and were presumed reached. Of these, 311 submitted sufficient data for inclusion, a response rate of 25.7%. Response rates did not differ significantly by location (urban vs rural) or bed size, however major teaching hospitals were more likely to respond than minor or non-teaching hospitals (p<.001). (Table 1)

More than half of hospitals reported having a VTE prevention policy (58.0%) (Table 2). Most had a hospital-wide VTE prevention protocol (81.5%) and/or unit-specific protocols (59.9%). Less than half had a VTE prevention team, committee, or workgroup and only 21.8% had a designated VTE prevention team and 19.2% reported VTE prevention activities were addressed by another committee. Large hospitals were more likely to have a designated team (p<.001). When a team or committee exists, there is representation from at least 2 departments (96.7%).

Almost 80% reported they have clinical decision support (CDS) tools to help guide the selection of appropriate VTE prophylaxis for medical and surgical patients. The availability of CDS was greater in large and medium hospitals for both medical and surgical units (p<.002). Approximately 60% reported that their admission order sets addressed VTE prophylaxis and completion is mandatory. Reminders or alerts are provided for patients by about 60% of hospitals. Missed anticoagulant doses are routinely documented at 80.1% of hospitals. Around 50% of hospitals reported they conduct audits and provide feedback related to VTE prophylaxis for patients.

Over 70% of hospitals educate patients about VTE prevention, including the importance of VTE prophylaxis, during the hospitalization; a little more than a third of hospitals provide annual VTE prevention education to clinicians. About half reported they have an ambulation protocol for patients. There were no variations by hospital bed size for education or ambulation protocols.

Data on the number of newly diagnosed HA-VTE is collected in 75.6% of hospitals. This was lower in small hospitals (p=.004). Whereas just 44.7% track the number of patients with bleeding events and/or complications related to anticoagulant pro-

POSTER ABSTRACTS

phylaxis. Only 43.7% collect data on the patients receiving appropriate VTE prophylaxis and even fewer collect data on of patients receiving risk assessment (29.3%).

Conclusion

This survey of hospital VTE prevention practices identified numerous areas for improvement in the establishment and implementation of HA-VTE prevention policies and procedures. Overall, there were limited differences in prevention practices based on hospital bed size. Improving the awareness and application of evidence-based guidelines and interventions may reduce the incidence of HA-VTE.

Disclosures No relevant conflicts of interest to declare.

ABSTRACTS

ble 1- Character	istics	of the	hospital	sample	and r	esponder	nts	

Characteristic	Population med/surg hospitals 2019 (n=4605)	Original sample* (n=1290)	Hospitals reached, n% (n= 1212**)	Hospitals participated, n% (n=311)	P-value (comparing response rates by hospital characteristic)		
Urban	2737	940	913 (97.1)	235 (25.7)	0.67		
Rural	1843 343 299		299 (87.2)	76 (25.4)	0.97		
<100 beds	2331	430	382 (88.8)	85 (22.3)			
100-399	1802	430	419 (97.4)	117 (27.9)	0.16		
≥ 400	472	430	411 (95.6)	109 (26.5)			
					1		
Major teaching	274	200	199 (99.5)	71 (35.7))	1.0000.0		
Minor	1677	550	536 (97.5)	138 (25.7)	<.001		
Nonteaching	2654	540	477 (88.3)	102 (21.4)			
Random sample st	ratified by bed size						

**assumed reached but may have been blocked by spam filters Response rate 25.7%, more major teaching

sspital characteristics for hospitals reached are from American Hospital Association. American Hospital Association (AHA) Annual Survey Data

2019

Question	Total	Small	Med	Large	P-valu
Hospital has a VTE prevention policy	178 (58.0)	50 (58.8)	72 (63.2)	56 (51.9)	
No	129 (42.0)	35 (41.2)	42 (36.8)	52 (48.1)	0.23
Hospital has a VTE prevention team					
Yes, designated team	67 (21.8)	6 (7.1)	17 (14.8)	44 (40.7)	
Yes, VTE is addressed by another committee	59 (19.2)	16 (19.0)	17 (14.8)	26 (24.1)	<.001
No	181 (59.0)	62 (73.8)	81 (70.4)	38 (35.2)	
Missing The VTE prevention team (committee or work eroun) has	4	1	2	1	_
representation from two or more hospital departments	118 (96.7)	21 (95.5)	31 (93.9)	66 (98.5)	
No	4 (3.3)	1 (4.5)	2 (6.1)	1 (1.5)	0.45
Missing	4	0	1	3	_
Hospital has a hospital-wide VTE prevention protocol	251 (81.5)	63 (75.0)	98 (85.2)	90 (82.6)	0.17
Missing	3/ (10.5)	1	2	0	0.11
The hospital has a unit-specific VTE prevention protocol	-				
Yes, both + med only + surg only + other	185 (59.9)	47 (56.0)	65 (56.0)	73 (67.0)	
No unit specific protocols	124 (40.1)	37 (44.0)	51 (44.0)	36 (33.0)	0.17
Missing Horoital provider clinical decision support tools to help quide	2	1	1	0	-
the selection of appropriate VTE prophylaxis for medical	216 (78.5)	49 (74.2)	85 (81.7)	82 (78.1)	
patients					0.002
No	59 (21.5)	17 (25.8)	19 (18.3)	23 (21.9)	
Missing	36	19	13	4	_
Hospital provides clinical decision support tools to help guide the selection of appropriate VTF prophylaxis for suraical	215 (79.3)	44 (68 8)	84 (82 4)	87 (82.9)	
patients		adjoorat	or foreight	or formal	<.001
No	56 (20.7)	20 (31.3)	18 (17.6)	18 (17.1)	
Missing	40	21	15	4	<u>(</u>
Completion of admission order sets which address VTF		Conserved.			-
prophylaxis is mandatory for medical units	171 (61.7)	38 (57.6)	67 (63.2)	66 (62.9)	1000
No, n/a, unknown and optional	106 (38.3)	28 (42.4)	39 (36.8)	39 (37.1)	0.73
Missing	34	19	11	4	8
Completion of admission order sets which address VTE	165 (61.6)	35 (57.4)	64 (62.1)	66 (63.5)	
prophylaxis is mandatory for surgical units					0.73
No, n/a, unknown and optional	103 (38.4)	26 (42.6)	39 (37.9)	38 (36.5)	
Missing Missed anticoagulant dozer are mutically documented for	43	24	14	3	
medical units and/or surgical units (any checked yes)	217 (80.1)	48 (75.0)	81 (77.1)	88 (86.3)	0.13
Unchecked (no, missing and n/a)	54 (19.9)	16 (25.0)	24 (22.9)	14 (13.7)	
Reminders (electronic and/or human alerts) are provided for					
general medical patients when patient has not had risk	169 (62.4)	42 (64.6)	62 (59.0)	65 (64.4)	1000.000
assessment done or appropriate prophylaxis ordered.	103 (37.6)	33/35 43	43 (41.0)	36 (35.6)	0.67
No Micting	102 (37.6)	25 (55.4)	43 (41.0)	36 (35.6)	
Reminders (electronic and/or human alerts) are provided for	40	10	**		
general surgical patients when patient has not had risk	167 (62.5)	39 (61.9)	60 (58.8)	68 (66.7)	
assessment done or appropriate prophylaxis ordered.					0.51
No	100 (37.5)	24 (38.1)	42 (41.2)	34 (33.3)	
Missing	44	22	15	7	
eneral medical clinicians	97 (35.9)	22 (33.8)	38 (36.2)	37 (37.0)	
No	173 (64.1)	43 (66.2)	67 (63.8)	63 (63.0)	0.92
Missing	41	20	12	9	
VTE prevention education is provided at least annually for	101 /38 25	21 /25 00	38 (37 6)	42/42/01	
general surgical clinicians	101 (20/1)	21 (33.0)	20 [21.0]	42 [42:0]	0.65
No	160 (61.3)	39 (65.0)	63 (62.4)	58 (58.0)	0.05
Missing	50	25	16	9	<u> </u>
VTE prevention education for medical patients in any time during hospitalization	194 (71.1)	46 (71.9)	74 (69.8)	74 (71.8)	0.94
No	79 (28 9)	18(28.1)	12 (10.2)	20 (29 2)	
Missine	38	21	11	6	
VTE prevention education for surgical patients in any time					
during hospitalization	204 (75.0)	45 (70.3)	77 (74.0)	82 (78.8)	
No	68 (25.0)	19 (29.7)	27 (26.0)	22 (21.2)	0,44
Missing	39	21	13	5	<u> </u>
Hospital has an ambulation protocol for general medical	137 (49.6)	36 (54.5)	49 (46.7)	52 (49.5)	
patients	130 (52.0)	10/10 0	10 10 2 2	F3 (F3 F7	0.6
Missine	139 (50.4)	19	12	33 (50.5)	
Hospital has an ambulation protocol for general surgical	33	1.7			
patients	151 (55.5)	35 (55.6)	53 (51.0)	63 (60.0)	
No	121 (44.5)	28 (44.4)	51 (49.0)	42 (40.0)	0.42
Missing	39	22	13	4	1
Hospital performs audits and feedback related to VTE	131 (47.8)	37 (56.1)	47 (45,2)	47 (45.2)	
prophylaxis for medical patients				-	0.31
No	143 (52.2)	29 (43.9)	57 (54.8)	57 (54.8)	10000
Missing Haraltal performs and be and feedback soluted to MTE	37	19	13	3	
THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY ADDR	138 (51.7)	33 (53.2)	46 (45.1)	59 (57.3)	
prophylaxis for surgical patients	120 (48 3)	29 (46.8)	56 (54.9)	44 (42.7)	0.21
prophylaxis for surgical patients	A.C. 0 1400-04		15	6	
Prospital persons audits and recourse related to vite prophylaxis for surgical patients No Missing	44	23			
Inopitial performs autors and recoack related to vice prophylaxis for surgical patients No Missing Hospital currently collects data over time on percent of	44	23	27122.42	the second se	0.11
Inspital performs audits and reedoack related to vic prophylasis for sugical patients No Missing Hospital currently collects data over time on percent of patients with a VTE risk assessment	44 91 (29.3)	23 31 (36.5)	27 (23.1)	33 (30.3)	0.11
Inspiral performs adults and reequark review to vise prophylasis for significal patients. No Missing Hospital currently collects data over time on percent of patients with a VTE risk assessment No (not checked)	44 91 (29.3) 220	23 31 (36.5) 54	27 (23.1) 90	76	0.11
Insignal performs adults an revealence releases reasons to site Prophylasis for survey and performs and the second secon	44 91 (29.3) 220 136 (43.7)	23 31 (36.5) 54 45 (52.9)	27 (23.1) 90 43 (36.8)	76 48 (44.0)	0.11
Insignal performs adults and recourse reading to size prophylasis for surgicial patients. No Missing Hospital currently collects data over time on percent of patients with a Viri ka sessment No (not checked) Mospital currently collects data over time on percent of patients renewing appropriate VTE prophylasis.	44 91 (29.3) 220 136 (43.7)	23 31 (36.5) 54 45 (52.9)	27 (23.1) 90 43 (36.8)	76 48 (44.0)	0.07
Insignal perconstration and reconstruction of the Prophylasis for some perconstruction of the Mossing Nappial accessful constraints of the Nappial accessful collects data over time on percent of patients with a VTC risk assessment No (not checked) Nappial accessful collects data over time on percent of patients receiving appropriate VTC prophylasis No (not checked)	44 91 (29.3) 220 136 (43.7) 175	23 31 (36.5) 54 45 (52.9) 40	27 (23.1) 90 43 (36.8) 74	33 (30.3) 76 48 (44.0) 61	0.07
Insignal performs adults an relevance relevance relevance or sic prophylasis for surgicity patients. No Missing Hospital currently collects data over time on percent of patients with a VET risk assessment No (not checked) Nospital currently collects data over time on percent of patients receiving appropriate VTE prophylasis No (not checked) Nospital currently collects data over time on number of newly dimonoid neuron (MAMT).	44 91 (29.3) 220 136 (43.7) 175 235 (75.6)	23 31 (36.5) 54 45 (52.9) 40 53 (62.4)	27 (23.1) 90 43 (36.8) 74 94 (80.3)	33 (30.3) 76 48 (44.0) 61 88 (80.7)	0.07
Inspirat performs about some resource readers readers of a re- perchylasis for some and readers readers and the some on percent of together with a VTE risk assessment. No (not checked) Hospital currently collect data over time on percent of patients reaching appropriate VTE prophylasis. No (not checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(checked) No(che	44 91 (29.3) 220 136 (43.7) 175 235 (75.6) 76	23 31 (36.5) 54 45 (52.9) 40 53 (62.4) 32	27 (23.1) 90 43 (36.8) 74 94 (80.3) 23	33 (30.3) 76 48 (44.0) 61 88 (80.7) 21	0.07
Insignal performs adults an relevance relevance relevance or sic prophylasis for switching performs. No Missing Hospital currently collects data over time on percent of patients with a VE risk assessment No (not checked) No (not checked) No (not checked) No (not checked) Mospital currently collects data over time on number of newly dignored cases of NA-VEE No (not checked)	44 91 (29.3) 220 136 (43.7) 175 235 (75.6) 76	23 31 (36.5) 54 45 (52.9) 40 53 (62.4) 32	27 (23.1) 90 43 (36.8) 74 94 (80.3) 23	33 (30.3) 76 48 (44.0) 61 88 (80.7) 21	0.07
Insight performs about some resource readers of ere performer some some some some some some some some	44 91 (29.3) 220 136 (43.7) 175 235 (75.6) 76 139 (44.7)	23 31 (36.5) 54 45 (52.9) 40 53 (62.4) 32 34 (40.0)	27 (23.1) 90 43 (36.8) 74 94 (80.3) 23 59 (50.4)	33 (30.3) 76 48 (44.0) 61 88 (80.7) 21 46 (42.2)	0.07

Figure 1

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-180870